EXHIBIT 11 FILED UNDER SEAL

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                    FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                            MARSHALL DIVISION
     NETLIST, INC.,
                                      ( CAUSE NO. 2:21-CV-463-JRG
 3
                                     )
                Plaintiff,
 4
 5
     VS.
 6
     SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., (
                                     ) MARSHALL, TEXAS
     et al.,
                                      ( NOVEMBER 4, 2022
 7
                Defendants.
                                     ) 9:00 A.M.
 8
 9
10
11
                             MARKMAN HEARING
12
                      BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROY PAYNE
                      UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                        SHAWN McROBERTS, RMR, CRR
                          100 E. HOUSTON STREET
                          MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670
23
                              (903) 923-8546
                    shawn mcroberts@txed.uscourts.gov
24
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
the limitation could be understood to be something other than
the claimed device. But anyway, I'll -- I will look at that
further.
          MR. McKEON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
          THE COURT:
                      Thank you, Mr. McKeon.
          MS. ZHONG:
                     Your Honor, just one clarification.
reason we want to make sure that the memory module is limiting
is to make sure that each of the claimed elements, printed
circuit board, voltage conversion circuit, and a plurality of
the components, we want to make sure these are all part of the
memory module. Without that, there could be confusion. And
it doesn't seem that Samsung's counsel disagrees with it.
want that. We just want the clarity so there is no argument
down the road.
          THE COURT: All right. I understand that position.
Thank you.
     That takes us to the 'array die' term.
    And I can tell you, Mr. Sheasby, that the basis of this
construction is the prosecution history disclaimer argument,
in case that wasn't clear.
          MR. SHEASBY: Understood, Your Honor. And let me go
straight there.
     So this is the passage from the prosecution history, and
it does, indeed, say that Rajan merely discloses DRAM circuits
206A through D, which are different from the array dies.
                                                          And
```

```
I understand that Your Honor has concluded that that is a
 1
     disclaimer. The issue that I have is that -- we understand
 2
     that -- does Your Honor intend to give anymore guidance as to
 3
     what the DRAM circuits are that is excluded, or will that be
 4
     for the experts to analyze?
 5
 6
               THE COURT: That would be for the experts. I do not
     intend to try and define what DRAM circuits that are
 7
     disclaimed.
 8
               MR. SHEASBY: I understand, Your Honor.
 9
          With that clarification, there's no need for any
10
11
     additional argument. Thank you, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12
               MR. COLVIN: Your Honor, Samsung will rest on the
13
     papers for that term as well.
14
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Colvin.
15
16
               MR. SHEASBY: Your Honor, we will rest as to H on
17
     the papers.
               THE COURT: All right.
18
               MR. COLVIN: Samsung will also rest on the papers,
19
     Your Honor.
2.0
2.1
               THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Colvin.
               MR. SHEASBY: And I believe that I, J, and K are all
2.2
     the adoption of our positions, and so if Samsung wants to
23
     argue those I'll allow them, obviously. So we don't have to
24
     jump up so often. I won't allow you to do anything. You can
25
```

	21141
1	I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A
2	CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF
3	PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.
4	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE TRANSCRIPT FEES
5	FORMAT COMPLY WITH THOSE PRESCRIBED BY THE
6	COURT AND THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE
7	UNITED STATES.
8	
9	S/Shawn McRoberts 11/07/2022
10	DATE SHAWN MCROBERTS, RMR, CRR
11	FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	